

IP1 Representation

We were horrified to receive your letter of 11 February informing us of a Premises Licence Application for Trent Country Park – AMAAD Festival Event scheduled to take place on Saturday 17 August 2019 and object in the strongest possible terms on the grounds of:

- Prevention of crime and disorder;
- Prevention of public nuisance;
- Public safety, and
- Protection of children from harm.

We note that a maximum of 25,000 attendees are expected at the event. We do not understand how Enfield Council can contemplate an event where such a massive crowd can be expected. Trent Country Park is a park of natural beauty which is much enjoyed and appreciated by all. How can 25,000 descending on the meadow field and the quiet suburb of Cockfosters be acceptable? The field has not yet recovered from the crowd of 15,000 at the 2018 “51st State” event which resulted in hordes of rowdy, noisy and inebriated people roaming Cockfosters Road. Now Enfield Council is contemplating permitting a two thirds increase in attendees. Preposterous!

The event arena will be fenced off and park users will have alternative routes provided during the event. How can this be fair? We spend some time in Trent Park on most weekends, certainly in the summer, but we cannot contemplate going to our park with young grandchildren who could potentially witness the anti-social behaviour and disorder that we have seen in the past which would be magnified by the numbers of attendees that Enfield are proposing.

There is also the noise nuisance coming from the arena. The boom of the bass coming from the festival and the DJ’s shouting into their microphones could be clearly heard from our property which is **1 mile away**.

We witnessed anti-social behaviour and public disorder including people urinating and vomiting in the street and in the service road adjacent to our property, in spite of the fact that we were assured that marshals would prevent this. That evening we were disturbed on numerous occasions by the crowd’s drunken (and possibly drug fuelled) behaviour, screaming and shouting. We witnessed many people carrying bottles of alcohol on their way to the event and some were drunk before they had stepped foot in Trent Park. The so called “marshals” were ineffectual, unprofessional, disinterested and intimidated by the crowd.

As in the previous year we reported the various incidents to the contact number provided by Enfield Council but to no avail. Nothing happened. We even called the mobile number of the organiser, Lisa Marie O’Sullivan of Mad Husky Events Ltd. (We had been given that number because of our concerns). Unfortunately she did not answer and our calls went to voicemail.

We strongly implore Enfield Council to refuse this application. We note that the event will take place annually without the need for re-applying for a licence!! How can that be fair to the residents of Cockfosters?

IP2 Representation

I write to express my concerns about the above as a local resident (Belmont Avenue) and a very regular user of Trent Park.

I do not believe that the area can accommodate an extra 25000 people - the entrance into the park is not large enough even using the extra opening on Cockfosters Road. Last year after the 51st State Festival (which is licensed for far fewer people) the area around Cockfosters station was extremely crowded and intimidating.

In these times of heightened security, looking at the plans for policing/stewarding I do not believe nearly enough people will be available to ensure safety.

I notice that Elrow are promoting and have sold many tickets for this event- why if appropriate licences have not been granted??

IP3 Representation

Dear Enfield Licensing Team,

My name is xxxxx and I am the Vicar of Christ Church Cockfosters (residential address XXXXX). I am writing in response to your letter, dated 11th Feb 2019, regarding the AMAAD event scheduled for Trent Country Park on the 17th August 2019.

Having witnessed the impact of the smaller scale 51st State Event in 2018, I find it extraordinary that a licensing application is being considered for a yet larger event. I would strongly object to the grant of a licence. The 2018 51st State Festival saw considerable levels of anti-social behaviour (public urination, littering, abusive language, threatening behaviour) and on a Saturday in the school holidays it provided an intimidating atmosphere particularly for the children in our community during their school holidays. Cockfosters ward has a population of around 14,000 so to introduce an additional 25,000 people into the community during prime family time (indeed when families would typically be enjoying the facilities of Trent Park) seems to be prioritising income generation above serving the needs of our community. I am disappointed if this is the aim of the council.

I am informed, although I did not witness it, that one RTA occurred directly as a result of the 51st State road closures last year. We are a community with restricted transport links and we are very dependent on the Piccadilly Line. Were any disruption to occur to the tube system (a regular occurrence) then our community will be left suffering the consequences of 25,000 revellers who are unable to get home.

On behalf of the local community, please can I urge you to reconsider this application and to restrict Trent Park events to smaller scale, more community focused events. I notice that Mauritius and Ghana Festivals are planned for the 13th and 14th of July. The scale and nature of these festivals seems far more appropriate to host in Trent Park and indeed, could prove to be a blessing to a diverse community such as ours. I cannot see this will be the case with the AMAAD event and I urge you to reject the application.

IP4 Representation

Sirs

I am dismayed at the prospect of the above event taking place to the detriment of Trent Park and the surrounding areas of Cockfosters. In the past when these music festivals have taken place here - with crowds of around 10,000, the disruption has been terrible with noise pollution, litter/bottles and drugs. I have picked up many empty drug canisters along Chalk Lane.

I am against anything that spoils the enjoyment of the park and surrounding area for the local residents. Why should we not be able to use the Cockfosters Road if we want to go out in the evening and why should our local park be closed off to us for virtually the whole of the month of August.

I sincerely hope the licence for this event (and even the one on the 3rd August) is refused.

IP5 Representation

As co-chair of Cockfosters Local Area Residents Association (CLARA), I write to register the Association's objections to the scale of the proposed event. The event should be limited to a far smaller scale and, particularly, we argue that the premises license for this brand new event must not be granted for subsequent years, without annual review by the LSC.

CLARA has been actively involved in the Stakeholders Group for TCP. We have worked constructively with Parks officers and event organisers to mitigate the adverse impact of events on the Park and local residents for over 5 years. We have had several discussions on this event but are not persuaded that this very large event of 25,000 attendees can proceed with acceptable risk. We have still not seen a finalised site plan nor have our questions on the very low numbers of police presence been answered.

TCP is a valuable local amenity and the area where the event is proposed occupies the most important area of the Park for young families. The apparently extended period for set up of this event, immediately following another similar event, will deprive families of their use of this lovely recreation facility. As stated, until a proper explanation of the event can be provided, we cannot be confident that potential erosion or damage to the site will be acceptable.

Of even greater concern is the scale and nature of the event where a crowd of up to 25,000 is proposed to enjoy music but with alcohol consumed for over 10 hours, which we think is incompatible with the usual recreational and family-focussed use of the Park and the local neighbourhood. Furthermore, the public access and egress to and from the Park is problematic. Public transport from Cockfosters Station, at the end of the Piccadilly Line, is not intended to support this volume of traffic. The egress and site clearance plan is questionable and does not anticipate any disruption to the train service, which is not an infrequent problem. The large numbers of eventgoers who need to access the station or make their way to designated taxi pickup points will effectively flood the Cockfosters Road on both sides and will overwhelm nearby residential areas. The largest event at TCP has previously been 15,000 and this has convinced residents that even that size is unsustainable.

We further note that, although we have been informed of traffic management plans, marshalling and security plans, there must be concerns at the very low numbers of uniformed police which have been proposed so far. Numbers of 9 officers in the day and 12 during the evening were indicated initially which is almost one-third of the numbers attending the previous large event. We have continued to press council officers for revised information on policing and this remains unanswered. Indeed, at the last meeting we were told, both by the Chair of SAG and the Organiser, that they have asked for greater numbers of police but that they just aren't available due to other priorities. We would turn this on its head therefore and ask why the event should proceed or one must propose that it should be scaled back. In our opinion, any event in TCP should be no larger than 10,000 attendees.

We understand there are four very specific grounds for objections under the relevant Licensing Act. We contend that, because of the nature and size of this event and the concomitant risk, our concerns are relevant to all of these objectives. Indeed, our experience to date of very large events in TCP convinces us that this is certainly true - the crowds have been intimidating with examples of antisocial behaviour, public indecency including urination and loud oafish behaviour.

Signed,

Co-chair, CLARA

IP6 Representation

To whom it may concern,

As a resident in Oakwood close to Trent Park and also as co chair of the Trent Park Conservation Committee I must object to this proposed event.

My main objection is to the sheer scale of numbers. Trent Park and Cockfosters are not big enough to cope with the expected 25,000 people.

We have had large concerts of 15,000 people in the previous 2 years and disruption was caused to the park(The listed gates at Cockfosters were damaged by vehicles bringing equipment in, a dog owned by a local park user was run over by same said vehicles, cones and other debris were thrown into the pond by Limes Avenue and not removed by the Council for many months and grass on the showground field was ripped up and not rectified for nearly a year and this, after much pressure from our committee). Furthermore, residents of Cockfosters have had drunk attendees causing a lots of noise and urinating in people's gardens.

Cockfosters is a small station, not on the size of somewhere like Wembley which is purpose built to deal with large numbers. TFL have indicated that they will shut the station if there are health and safety issues. if this were the case, where would the attendees go to get home?

I believe that the main Cockfosters Road will be closed for a period before/after the concert. This is on a Saturday night when many local restaurants rely on business on probably their busiest night of the week.

Trent Park has been fortunate to have received a small grant from a private company to improve the pond by Limes Avenue and plant some new trees. I fear these will both be damaged with this sheer weight of numbers so will the council be prepared to repair and replace damage of these 2 areas? I doubt it as we have had to turn to a private company to maintain our park which should be council responsibility.

I am not against events being held in the park. The Ghanian and Mauritius Festivals are well organised and respectful of our park for example.

Please also consider the disruption the set up and taking down will create in the park , coming 2 weeks after the 51st state event, at a time in the middle of the school holidays when children should be out enjoying open space.

It will a travesty if you allow this event to go ahead and to the eternal shame of Enfield Council who is supposed to support it's residents.

IP7 Representation

I live near Trent Park and I am very concerned about the planned Elrow event due to occur in August. I believe Cockfosters is unable to take the expected numbers, especially when the event ends. There is also always a large amount of litter, glass and sick on the pavements all around Cockfosters after these events which is very disgusting to see as a local resident, especially when walking with my children. Also parking/driving in the area is very chaotic as a lot of people drive to these events and park in residential streets. There are already other events planned at the start of August so another event in this month will mean the park is closed to us most of August and we can expect the amount of litter, traffic etc explained above for most of August. Please consider the residents!

IP8 Representation

Dear Sir/Madam

As a resident of Chalk Lane, Cockfosters, I am writing in objection to the AMAAD Event that is being proposed for this summer in Trent Park.

As witnessed over the past couple of years with the 51st State Festival, the park, and the Cockfosters area simply can not cope with events on this scale.

We had to put up with road congestion, anti-social behaviour, drug taking/dealing, loitering, littering, and a general threatening vibe during the course of these events. No doubt with 25,000 people attending, the same will be felt again.

Cockfosters and Trent Park is not the place to be having these types of events. This is scant disregard for the residents, and the impact the above issues have on people.

I know that CLARA has objected to this event, and I write to you as a member of CLARA, and a local resident, in strong opposition to this event.

IP9 Representation

I wish to register my objection to this proposal. The huge number of 25000 expected attendees is completely inappropriate, far too high for the area, and with completely unacceptable road closures. The Cockfosters Road is a major link in to London from the M25, closing this road will create public safety issues. There are far too many of these so called festivals going on in the park now. It is completely unfair on the local community with the disruption they cause, and they stop the park being used for its intended purpose. Speaking from experience of events that have already taken place the noise levels are at public nuisance levels, making it impossible for residents to use the outside areas of their homes, or the rest of Trent Park. Effectively residents have to shut all windows and doors and are not able to venture out, this amounts to an unacceptable level of public nuisance. The Council should not be prepared to sacrifice the well being of their residents just to generate income in this way.

IP10 Representation

Dear licensing team,

I am a local resident. I moved here seven years ago, at some expense, because of the quiet charm of the area, hoping to spend the rest of my life here.

Since then, the situation has changed, suddenly, and beyond expectation, at increasingly frequent intervals, into an unruly and unsafe area, during the summer months. I live on my own, in a turning opposite the park. I have been scared witless by the mobs during the annual events, the noise and jeering, the drinking, and problems with traffic jams and congestion. (yes they do find a way to park in prohibited areas.)

Last year, whilst I was on the bus a crowd of youths, with cans of alcohol, were banging on the bus windows laughing and shouting. Some of the shops and restaurants were full to capacity, and it was impossible to get in, some youths were being intimidating in Chalk Lane, where I have to walk to get home, and there seemed to be mess and chaos everywhere.

This is something I now dread, IT IS A RESIDENTIAL AREA, NOT IN THE MIDDLE OF THE COUNTRY. You may think I'm a fuddy duddy, but I have raised children, I want to invite my friends here - not worry whether 25,000 other people are using the tube.

ENFIELD HAS HIJACKED THE PARK FOR PROFIT, AND TWO FINGERS UP TO THE RESIDENTS.

PLEASE PLEASE CURTAIL THIS MENACE, THE THOUGHT OF MORE IS MAKING ME ILL.

IP11 Representation

Dear Sirs

Further to your letter received on 11 February 2019 and subsequent blue notices appearing from 18 February 2019, in relation to the Premises Licence Applications for Trent Country Park - AMAAD Festival Event - 'A Man About A Dog Ltd' event organisers, we are hereby raising an objection.

As a resident who has witnessed the impacts of '51st State' on this community, and understanding that this new event could hold a crowd of more than '51st State' with some 25,000 attendees with alcohol consumption throughout the day and night, and safety of the event being left to the license holder, we do not believe that this event with such numbers has the event-goers or interests of the community catered for properly.

The Cockfosters area simply cannot cater for a crowd this large, and your letter falls short of advising of any or adequate Police measures, plus the impact on the tube station, and what would happen if they had to close the station due to over-crowding.

It would be devastating to see a repeat of issues that were witnessed after the 51st state event because of the sheer crowd numbers and the long-drinking time with alcohol, which included:

- roads over-run with drunk event-goers (and indeed a casualty of this where a lady was knocked over by a car)
- over-crowding of the tube-station
- Police apprehending a group of people who were being disrespectful to the area and the Police
- evidence of drug-taking (bullet canisters all over the roads, which could have been picked up by a child thinking it to be a toy)
- streets around the park not properly cleaned of litter
- residents not able to get back to their houses due to roads being closed-off
- abusive language to some residents and event-goers urinating in their gardens (despite road-closures, drunk event-goers were still able to walk up the side roads off the main Cockfosters Road).

Please note that as residents, we don't object to smaller, family-oriented, charity events that are held at Trent Country Park. But events of bigger scale, where there is the potential for long-hours of alcohol consumption, have proven in the past to be problematic. Cockfosters and Trent Country Park just doesn't have the capability to hold an event of this nature / scale. It is too large for this area, and has a hugely negative impact. Whilst I wish the event-goers success, to hold this scale of event in this area has the potential for risk and problems. It should be held in another area that can cater for this kind of event.

On the basis of the above, and with little evidence within your letter to show proper due diligence to the policing and crowd control, we are objecting to this event on the grounds of Public nuisance and Public Safety in the first instance, and the Potential impact on crime and disorder. We also strongly object to a perennial licence being

issued, when this event hasn't even taken place in this venue before. There is no grounds to base a perennial licence on.

IP12 Representation

Dear Sirs

As a resident of Cockfosters for 49 years I am dismayed & disgusted with Enfield Councils attitude towards the wishes of the people who live in the area in respect to the above two music festivals not to take place.

As councillors who no doubt do not live in the Cockfosters/Hadley Wood area you have no comprehension to the chaos these very large events have within the community.

Nobody is saying music festivals should not take place within the grounds of Trent park, but the numbers of visitors proposed of 25,000 for the 51st concert & between 15 to 17.5 thousand for Elrow is just unacceptable for the following reasons.

- 1) Transport to & from the area cannot cope with the numbers in question. (Fact)
- 2) Anti Social Behaviour of the type of people these events attract. (Visitors Urinating in my original houses front garden) (Fact)
- 3) Acute theft from shops within the vicinity. (Fact)
- 4) Totally non existent presence of law enforcement, the marshalls do not have any power to arrest trouble makers.
- 5) A great deal of drugs are passed around & consumed on & off site (Fact)
- 6) Many residents are prohibited in leaving their properties due to the fact they cannot get back due to road restrictions. This has the effect of imprisoning residents in their own homes for the days in question.
- 7) The acute damage inflicted on the grounds of Trent Park, two years ago the large field/grass area was destroyed. (Fact)

The greed of Enfield Council is unacceptable. Don't waste money on hair brained schemes like Bicycle Lanes & maybe you can use the saved funds on repairing the roads to greater effect.

IP13 Representation

Friends of Trent Country Park

Submission of objections to Enfield Council Licensing sub-Committee; reference application by Elrow (Man about a dog) event in Trent Country Park (TCP) – August 17th 2019.

Statement of Objections.

1. Safety factors:

- The proposed commercial event calls for a license to admit 25,000 into the park for a whole day's music and entertainment with alcohol. This number exceeds the largest previous gathering by 10,000 and is not only without precedent, is totally unproven, and inconsistent with facilities in the park and neighbourhood such as to raise serious safety concerns for all.
- The park has no facilities to support major events (10,000+ attendees) Suitable access, emergency egress, refuges and shelter, road lighting, marked emergency exit trails are not available; pedestrian access, parking, paved footpaths and toilets are sized for regular visitor numbers only.
- Trent Park was laid out as a gentleman's estate with one 3m narrow gate issuing onto a paved entry road. This is the only paved entrance to the park for events, personnel and equipment. A separate 4m gate in the perimeter wire fence is opened to permit foot entry on events days; it is unpaved so visitors walk 800m over unprepared ground to the event which in wet conditions will be arduous and a risk in the event of any disturbance.
- TCP is used for communal and sporting events in daylight hours for up to several thousand, and the Friends encourage these local community activities. They represent the proven safe capacity of the park for casual non-commercial gatherings.
- LBE has progressed from permitting 10,000 attendee commercial events 4 years ago through 12,500, 15,000 and now 25,000 and is clearly proceeding with ever larger numbers which, given the lack of supporting facilities, the Friends consider wholly unsustainable. The Friends deplore that LBE will not assign maximum visitor numbers to TCP based on objective risk assessments, but proceeds on an ad hoc basis. Residents, visitors and park users all deserve the highest safety standards and, because infrastructure is lacking lives could well be put at risk.
- The presence of 25,000 attendees places overwhelming weight on the two entrances. Orderly evacuation via these gates to Cockfosters Rd would take not less than 90 minutes, with high potential for injury or worse in the event of any disturbance. Egress is only onto the Cockfosters Road, and although this will be closed to traffic in the evening of the event, any rush to the park gate before then would result in chaos. There is no emergency Plan B because there is no practical alternative egress.
- The whole event plan depends solely on TfL operating the published service from Cockfosters Underground Station. In the event of closure at the station owing to service

interruption or concern by staff at pressure on the station, crowds would pile up in the approaches and many visitors would of necessity have to remain in the park, probably in the dark as the event enclosure will stand 0.5 KM from the public road. In reduced daylight or darkness orderly egress is not conceivable since there is no lighting in the park – escape in the dark is not a tenable proposition with such large numbers.

- Access to the TCP site is primarily by public transport, via the Piccadilly line at Cockfosters. Dispersing crowds via trains that carry 600 persons each has proven manageable with gatherings up to 10,000, but was problematic at 15,000 in 2018 and is regarded as bound to stumble with 25000. Passenger entrance to the station is planned by the 2 west stairways which are narrow, steep, with two turns into the underpass which is notoriously slippery when wet; the potential for accidents and worse is obvious when crowds press.
- LBE asserts that TfL has assumed responsibility for the service when it is clear TfL will do no more than operate a regular advertised train service: in the event of any incident on or close to the narrow west entrances to the station, or interruption to the service, TfL will follow its required procedure and close the station on safety grounds. There being no Plan B to disperse visitors, Cockfosters faces a huge gathering of disaffected persons with no obvious means of returning to London. The Friends do not regard this as sustainable.
- Clearly there has to be a match between the number of travellers converging on Cockfosters station that equates to its maximum carrying capacity. We observe that LBE is not willing to name that limit because it restrains its freedom of action to license ever larger events in TCP. Considering there is no other means of public transport available in the vicinity on the night we assert that 25000 persons is beyond the capacity of Cockfosters public transport facilities and no evidence has been offered to allay this concern.
- In view of the potential for disorder and injury we now turn to plans for policing the event. We are assured that no more than observer strength police force numbers (>6) are required at this mega event, management of crowds being placed exclusively in the hands of casual marshals. The Friends submit this is carrying cost paring to extremes, and is an open invitation to disorder amongst the crowds typical of these events. Should there be a disturbance there is no way that marshals could contain the situation. We also learn that there is reluctance to commit to a significant and appropriate police presence because the number of warranted officers required is just not available owing to staff vacancies. If true, we hold this event must be resized to bring numbers back within the limits of the policing available. For reference, in 2018 the 51st State event of 15000 attendees was policed by close on 30 warranted officers.
- The Friends observe that gatherings of this size for events of this nature are best housed in arenas constructed on permanent sites with full infrastructure to support orderly ingress and egress, with all the emergency capabilities and facilities, trained manpower and enclosures required to maintain control over crowds. Pressing unprepared sites such as TCP into use as cheap alternatives is not sustainable nor even required; there is no shortage of arenas and stadia in London.

- We are told that Enfield Council's Safety Advisory Group (SAG) has reviewed plans with Elrow and has no objections, so it can proceed to licensing application. The Friends remain totally unpersuaded that the SAG offers any credible degree of protection to the public in this regard. This committee is constituted and chaired by LBE, which itself declines any responsibility for events. SAG is advisory only, not executive, and by its written remit is excluded from responsibility for any outcome arising from its advice. The Friends have no reason to believe the SAG has commissioned any independent study as to the carrying capacity of the park and neighbourhood, and is proceeding on an ad hoc best endeavours basis. That a gathering of 25000 has passed scrutiny without a stipulation as to appropriate policing cover in the current high level of emergency threat awareness vitiates its whole stance and credibility; it is simply not performing in the public interest.
- Enfield Council has pursued a policy of permitting ever larger commercial events in TCP but has never submitted the park for professional capacity assessment; it asserts it has no responsibility for events on public property, which the Friends regard as unsustainable, especially since LBE is a material beneficiary to the hiring of the site and licenses its use. It also has a duty of care to the public which overrides short term income considerations.

2. Nuisance factors and relevant licensing conditionalities:

- Events of this size pose a substantial risk to the structure and integrity of the park. In 2017 rain so softened the exhibition table that large parts of it were denuded by wheeled vehicles used in two major events. Repairs were superficial and tardy because the terms and conditions of licensing do not permit the full cost of repairs to be charged to the event organisers. The scale deposit in LBE's terms of licensing, at £7500, is wholly inadequate and we see no reason why LBE, which professes a lack of income, avoids taking measures to ensure the park is restored as rapidly as possible at the expense of the perpetrator. We draw our own conclusions that LBE does not wish to pressure event organisers with the true cost of holding events in TCP.
- The impact of major events on other park enterprises, Go Ape, the animal sanctuary, and the Hockey Club in particular, are substantial and LBE has made no move to resolve their losses. In effect their loss subsidise LBE's financial gains.
- The Friends calculate that the total cost to LBE of attracting major commercial events to TCP in executive time, processing applications for licensing, control and administration, making repairs, dealing with litter etc, more than halves the gross revenues to LBE. The Friends discount, with justification, claims by Enfield Council that the revenues are worth the overall loss of amenity and damage to the community and Trent Country Park. Events beyond the carrying capacity of TCP, which from experience we place at not more than 10,000 persons per day, are objectively unsustainable and should be halted.

Friends of Trent Country Park

IP14 Representation

I am writing to strongly oppose the proposal from Elrow for another event to take place with an absurd amount of people attending in Cockfosters on 17th August. Events of this scale damage not only the park itself, but cause chaos to all who live in the surrounding area. It causes unnecessary traffic congestion and pollution, as well as crime (particularly as the police presence proposed is not remotely enough for an event of this size). Local police have enough to do than spend valuable resources monitoring this kind of event where drugs are likely to be rife.

As a local resident I would like to be informed of any potential developments related to this event.

IP15 Representation

As an Enfield resident, a Friend of Trent Park and someone who visits Trent Park regularly, visiting the animal sanctuary with my grandchildren and enjoying Go Ape, I am concerned about the number and size of future events planned by LBE. I am particularly concerned about the planned event for August 18th - an unprecedented number of expected visitors. These events adversely affect the surrounding area as well as restricting use of the park by the public on days preceding and days after the events.

I agree with the objections submitted to you by the Friends of Trent Park and hope you will take notice.

IP16 Representation

Dear Sir/Madam

I am emailing to register my objection to this large event planned for 18 August.

My reasons are twofold.

1. Our local traffic will be adversely affected by such a large gathering. Cockfosters and Barnet roads are not suitable for the volume of traffic.
2. Trent Park is used by so many local families over the summer break. As a local tax payer and regular park user, I object to the park use restrictions that will be placed on our local community.

IP17 Representation

Having looked at the above proposals I feel I must object on several grounds.

Firstly, while I recognise that all institutions are compelled to raise income for maintenance etc, it does not seem reasonable to open Trent Park to the risks posed by allowing such a large number of people in - obvious risks would be to the park itself, particularly if this is a licensed event - to the business of Go Ape and to wildlife in the park.

There are other events taking place in the park this summer which will raise funds - still large but considerably smaller than this event.

Trent Park is a much-loved and very well-visited park - local residents make good use of it throughout the year as well as people who travel some distance to visit the park. During the summer holidays many families look forward to enjoying the park with their children. According to this proposal the park would not be properly accessible, even, for some weeks during the summer holidays - and for much of the time it will be full of heavy vehicles either delivering or dismantling the venue which is to be erected on the site.

To my mind it is not reasonable to hold such a huge event in a public park, and it will distress and anger many people.

IP19 Representation

Dear Sir/ Madam

I am very concerned about the proposed size of this event, which would be much bigger than any previous event in the park. I have serious concerns about safety, disruption in the surrounding neighbourhood and potential damage to park assets. Considerable time and money have recently been invested in historical and ecological assets in the park and it would take only one unruly event-goer to ruin these assets. I have seen no evidence that these assets will be adequately protected or that the event will be adequately policed to prevent unruly behaviour. I therefore ask that you do not grant the license.

IP20 Representation

i have seen the distress caused by similar events
this is a much larger number of people than ever handled
it is an unproven load on the park and neighbourhood
it should not be allowed

IP21 Representation

Dear Sirs ,

I must raise the strongest objection to the proposed festivals, 51st State on 3rd Aug and Elrow festival on 17th Aug 19 . For Trent park

These crowds are excessive and will do irreparable damage to the park . Trent park is enjoyed by a significant number of your constituents and this relatively small financial gain will significantly erode the good will of the people of Enfield towards the local authority

IP22 Representation

To whom it may concern.

I wish to strongly object to the proposed event above.

Trent Park does not seem the right place to hold an event for 25000, 10000 more than any previous event. I use the park daily and every summer we have to endure these events taking place. Some, of which, take a week of preparation. Huge lorries using the one entrance to the park and limes avenue. The same avenue that is used by the public trying to enjoy the park, children and dog walkers.

There is also severe disruption to local residents as the Cockfosters road becomes totally gridlocked with traffic.

The amount of litter that is left behind after these event is nothing short of shocking. The people who attend the park for these events seem totally unaware that this is a park and seem to think it's totally acceptable to leave all their rubbish behind on the field. The staff attempt to clear up the area but inevitably there is plenty of rubbish left behind in the grass.

Trent Park was never meant to be an events venue and as such does not have the amenities. Trent Park is at its most glorious in the summer and the whole of the park should be made available for the public to enjoy without disruption of events, the profits of which never seem to be invested back into the park.

IP23 Representation

I wish to object to the issuing of the license for the Elrow event. As a frequent visitor to Trent Park , I am astounded that you would even consider such an event of this magnitude to take place there. The damage this will do to the park and to the neighbourhood could cost we ratepayers hundreds of thousands of pounds. Closing Cockfosters road will cause chaos over a large part of that area of the borough, let alone what it will do to those unfortunate enough to live or work in the immediate neighbourhood.

Anonymous Representation

I would like to let you know my strong objections to you giving a licensing agreement for this festival. I object that Trent Park is being used as a money making place causing lots of noise to myself as a resident and encouraging people to drink in the park .

As you may or may know when Trent Park was given to the residents of Enfield it was given as a beautiful park and countryside - not for money making in this way.

I also object that the main Cockfosters Road will be closed to traffic which is a main access to the M25.

I live in Westpole Avenue on the train. Side and when there has been an event of this nature before the noise coming across has been tremendous.

Is this way Enfield are making money by abusing resident in the area!

So I object strongly to this event and even more strongly the sale of alcohol.

I look forward to hearing from you in this matter

I think having a public park and selling alcohol is a contradiction in what a public park stands for.

I also do not want lots of people coming out of the park late at night having been drinking. After other events I have seen bottles, beer cans and lots of litter left lying around.

More important I have seen people urinating in the street which naturally I find unacceptable

A very concerned and angry resident

IP25 Representation

The huge number of 25000 expected attendees is far too high for the area, noise pollution, safety issues controlling huge numbers of maybe intoxicated revellers, public transport will be unable to cope and road closures are completely unacceptable. There are far too many of these so called festivals going on in the park now. It is unfair on the local community as they cause so much disruption and stops the park being used for its intended purpose.

IP26 Representation

I would like to object to this unacceptable use of the park. It denies regular users access to a public facility. There appears to be no plans to reinvest any of the income generated back into the park. It creates a mess and a lot of noise which ordinary park users have to tolerate. There is a risk of damage to other areas which have received considerable investment recently. It will impact on the operation of the go ape business during what should be their busiest time of year. I hope you will refuse a license for this event.